IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION

<u>1997 I No.139</u>

Royal Courts of Justice Tuesday, 29th February 2000

Before:

MR. JUSTICE MORLAND

<u>BETWEEN</u>:

(1) INDEPENDENT TELEVISION NEWS LTD.

(2) PENNY MARSHALL

(3) IAN WILLIAMS

Claimants

- and -

(1) INFORMINC (LM) LTD.

(2) MICHAEL HUME

(3) HELENE GULDBERG

Defendants

Transcribed by **BEVERLEY F. NUNNERY & CO**. Official Shorthand Writers and Tape Transcribers Quality House, Quality Court, Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1HP Telephone: (0171) 831-5627

MR. T. SHIELDS Q.C. and MR. M. BARCA (instructed by Messrs. Biddle) appeared on behalf of the Claimants.

MR. G. MILLAR and MR. A. HUDSON (instructed by Messrs. Christian Fisher Solicitors) appeared on behalf of the Defendants.

PROCEEDINGS - DAY 2

BEVERLEY F. NUNNERY & CO. OFFICIAL SHORTHAND WRITERS

INDEX

Page No.

OPENING SPEECH, Continued by Mr. SHIELDS

WILLIAMS, Mr. IAN KEITH, Sworn

. .

Examined by Mr. SHIELDS

21

1

BEVERLEY F. NUNNERY & CO. OFFICIAL SHORTHAND WRITERS MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Mr. Shields, I think it is right that I should mention this at this stage so that everybody knows the position including you, members of the jury. On Friday I have to deal with a very substantial matter which may take most of Friday. If of course a witness is part-heard from Thursday the witness's evidence will be completed on Friday. So you may almost certainly have a short day on Friday so far as you are concerned. I am sorry about that but it is an urgent matter that has to be dealt with.

11 MR. SHIELDS: We never mind a day off, my Lord.

13 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Right. Yes, Mr. Shields.

15 MR. SHIELDS: Members of the jury, at the end of yesterday's proceedings we had reached the article of which complaint is 16 made and if you recall I had read to you at tab 4 the press 17 release which precedes that article. You might recall that 18 was "Journalist exposes the truth behind Bosnia 'death-camp' 19 photograph" and the purpose of that press release, of course, 20 was to alert the media and other what might be regarded as 21 people who would like to read such a story about the 22 forthcoming article. You will recall that a letter was 23 written which is at tab 5 seeking to suggest that the article 24 should not be published but nonetheless they chose to go ahead 25 and they chose to publish the article. I then drew your 26 27 attention to the article which is at tab 6 and the front is 28 headed "EXCLUSIVE The picture that FOOLED the World". 29

Members of the jury, you will see that is guite a What I am going to do is suggest you read it long article. to yourselves because one of the tasks you have to do in this case is to decide two things: who does that article refer to? - and I will come back in a minute to that - and what does it You are asking yourselves what it would have actually mean? meant to an ordinary sensible person reading it at the time. Now rather than my reading it out to you, because I may read it too quickly and not give you a chance to take it in properly, I am going to leave it to you to read that to yourselves and afterwards I will make a few comments about But do not feel under any pressure. it. It is quite a long article and it may take five or ten minutes.

- 44 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes. Mr. Shields, do you think it would be 45 easier for the jury - it is a matter for you and for them -46 for them to read it in their retirement room? 47
- 48 MR. SHIELDS: I think it would, if your Lordship is happy with 49 that. 50
- 51 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes. Would it not be more comfortable and 52 more relaxing, and you would take it in better and feel under 53 no pressure if you read it in your room? 54
- 55 MR. SHIELDS: What I was going to do after that, my Lord, to help 56 everyone, is with the jury I was going to do a paragraph 57 number, paragraph by paragraph.

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

14

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

್ರ39

40 41

42

43

MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes.

1 2

3

4 5

6

.7 8

9

11

22

23

24

25

26 27

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

42 43

44

46

48

53

54

<u>///</u>39

- MR. SHIELDS: After that then we can refer to a paragraph number rather than trying to find it.
- MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes, good. I would have thought the best thing would be if you would like to retire to your room, and I think probably ten minutes.
- 10 MR. SHIELDS: It is quite a lot.
- 12 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: It is really a very long article.
- 14 MR. SHIELDS: Fifteen minutes, my Lord?
 15
- MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Members of the jury, would you like to give a message to the jury usher, Jean, here and sort of bang on the door when you are ready to come back into court. I think that would be the convenient way rather than any time limit, because it is really quite a long article.
 - MR. SHIELDS: My Lord, at the next tab -- it might be sensible, I think, to maybe flag this tab 2, in paragraph 6 -- there is a thing called "First Casualty?", which is the editorial of which we complain. Just over the page from the end of the article, one turns over to something headed "First Casualty?"
- 28 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes.
 29
 - MR. SHIELDS: It is the next tab almost but not number 7, it has got the number 2 in it.
 - MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Just before 7 there is a thing that looks like <u>that</u>, "First Casualty?"
 - MR. SHIELDS: What might be helpful, my Lord, is if the jury took with them a copy of the article in its original form so that they can see there, if I can just hold it up, the front page "EXCLUSIVE" and in fact "First Casualty?" comes before the article in the actual magazine although you have got it the other way round. So if you want to read "First Casualty?" first, because probably a reader may have read that first, and then the article. I will hand that up, if I may.
- 45 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes.
- 47 MR. SHIELDS: C1, my Lord?
- MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes, that ought to formally be made an
 exhibit. C1, yes. Members of the jury, if you would like to
 go out to your room and do not feel under any pressure.

(In the absence of the jury)

55 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Mr. Shields, I did not suggest it now but 56 I think probably when they come back it would assist if they 57 had highlighters, would it not?

- MR. SHIELDS: Yes, my Lord.
 - MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Certainly if you are going to refer to particular paragraphs and perhaps particular sentences. It obviously would not be appropriate on their first reading.

MR. SHIELDS: No, that is why I wanted them to read it.

MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Read it like that, yes, and that is why I did not suggest it then. But if arrangements could be made for them to have highlighters so that if they wish to underline anything they can. Right. We will adjourn until they are ready.

(Adjourned for a short time)

11.15 a.m.

MR. SHIELDS: Members of the jury, we say that this article and the editorial which you have just read defame -- that is, take away the reputation of -- and gravely defame ITN, Penny Marshall and Ian Williams. We say it attacks their integrity and honesty and accuses them of falsification and fabrication. We say that anyone who read that article at about that time would have seen it as an allegation of conspiracy effectively by them to distort the truth to fit in with their supposedly anti-Serbian and pro-Muslim views.

I said before you retired to read the article that I was going to suggest that together we mark up a number of paragraphs, because it would save a lot of time in the course of the trial when witnesses refer to particular paragraphs. So if you have the article, "The Picture that Fooled the World", if everyone has that, I have done this as the first paragraph, the paragraph beginning:

36 37 38

j**3**9

40 41

43

48

53

1

2 3

4

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

"The picture reproduced on these pages "

So if everyone could mark that down as para.1. Then below that, "For many" is para.2. The next paragraph ----

42 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Not too quickly, Mr. Shields.

- MR. SHIELDS: I am sorry, my Lord. Paragraph 3 begins: "The fact
 is that"; para. 4 begins: "The barbed wire". Turning over the
 page, which has no.5 at the bottom, para.5 begins: "Whatever
 the British news team's intentions".
- MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: My second page -- I do not know if the jury
 are the same -- <u>that</u> is the first page, <u>that</u> is the second
 page -- then the third page I have has: "The Proof" and
 "Belsen" on it. Is that right?

MR. SHIELDS: That is right. Paragraph 5 begins: "Whatever the British news team's intentions." Then I go down to para.6, which begins: "Penny Marshall". Paragraph 7 begins: "It was through my role". Paragraph 8 is: "One night". Paragraph 9

should begin: "My suspicions". Then I drop down to para.10: "I decided to go back to Bosnia." Then over the page, I start with: "The British news team's trip", and that is para.11. Paragraph 12 is slightly indented and begins: "When Marshall, Williams and Villiamy arrived". Paragraph 13 begins: "As the end of their trip approached". Paragraph 14 begins: "The pictures they shot". Paragraph 15 begins: "I have looked through the rest of the team's film from Trnopolje". Paragraph 16 begins: "The camp". Paragraph 17 begins: "To film". Over the page, para.18: "When Marshall". Paragraph 19: "The unused footage". Paragraph 20: "On her return" Paragraph 21: "Yet an important element". Paragraph 23 begins: "When I visited Trnopolje".

Then over the page, para.24 begins: "I met Pero Paragraph 25: "When I showed the picture of Fikred Curguz". Alic". Paragraph 26 begins: "Misa Radulovic". Paragraph 27: "Without doubt most of the refugees in Trnopolje were undernourished". Paragraph 28: "The collection centre". Paragraph 29: "For all that". Paragraph 30: "Paddy Ashdown". Paragraph 31: "In the eyes of the world". Paragraph 32: "Ed Paragraph 33: "However". Paragraph 34: "The Vulliamy". tone". Paragraph 35: "Penny Marshall". Paragraph 36: "This was true". Paragraph 37: "A year after". Paragraph 38: "In a German television programme". On the last page, para.39: "The notion". Paragraph 40: "When the ITN pictures". Paragraph: 41: "Omarska camp". Paragraph 42: "Roused by the pictures". Paragraph 43: "The pictures of Fikred Alic". Paragraph 44: "The story". Paragraph 45: "By the end". Paragraph 46: "Ed Vulliamy".

Then if you would just like to number the next page, with the headline: "First Casualty?", the first paragraph is: "What is the responsibility"; 2 is: "Top correspondent"; 3: "I do not believe"; 4: "Hmm"; 5: "If they are not very careful"; 6: "If every picture".

Members of the jury, as I just said to you, we say this defames ITN, Penny Marshall and Ian Williams and it accuses them of setting out to distort the truth, imposing their pre-held views. We say there are serious allegations of falsification and in fact fabrication. Can I just show you two paragraphs which we say make good that case? If you could go back again to the article -- I will not take you through every paragraph -- but just to remind you of how it starts. First of all, of course, the picture. Then: "The Picture that Fooled the World". That is the headline which grabs the That in bold type is reflecting the allegation: attention. "that fooled the world". In other words, people have been conned. We then go down:

> "This image of an emaciated Muslim caged behind Serb barbed wire, filmed by a British news team, became a worldwide symbol of the war in Bosnia. But the picture is not quite what it seems."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51 52 53

54

55

56

Then we go down to the first paragraph: 1 2 3 "The picture reproduced on these pages is of Fikret 4 Alic, a Bosnian Muslim, emaciated and stripped to the 5 waist, apparently imprisoned " 6 7 Either he is or he is not. 8 9 " apparently imprisoned behind a barbed wire fence in a Bosnian Serb camp at 10 Trnopolje. It was taken from a videotape shot 11 on 5 August 1992 by an award-winning British 12 13 television team, led by Penny Marshall (ITN) 14 with her cameraman Jeremy Irvin, accompanied 15 by Ian Williams and the reporter Ed Vulliamy from the Guardian newspaper. 16 17 18 "For many, this picture has become a symbol of the horrors of the Bosnian war - 'Belsen '92' as one 19 British newspaper headline captioned the photograph 20 21 But the image is misleading." 22 There we are: "is misleading". 23 24 "The fact is that Fikret Alic and his fellow Bosnian 25 Muslims were not imprisoned behind a barbed wire 26 27 fence." 28 29 Let us pause there. There are two allegations implicit in that: they are not imprisoned and they are not 30 behind the barbed wire fence. 31 32 33 "There was no barbed wire fence surrounding Trnopolje 34 camp. It was not a prison " 35 36 Note those words -37 "It was not a prison and certainly not a 38 39 'concentration camp'.... " 40 41 This is what their case is and in the article -42 " but a collection centre for refugees, 43 many of whom went there seeking safety and 44 45 could leave again if they wished. 46 47 "The barbed wire in the picture is not around the Bosnian Muslims; it is around the cameraman and the 48 journalists. It formed part of a broken-down barbed 49 wire fence encircling a small compound that was next 50 51 to Trnopolje camp. The British news team filmed from 52 inside this compound." 53 54 They are a crucial four paragraphs, we say, because 55 they set the theme for the article. There is the allegation: he is not in prison, he is not behind the barbed wire fence; 56

it is a refugee camp, it is the journalists who are encaged. Carrying on:

> "The British news team filmed from inside this compound, shooting pictures of the refugees and the camp through the compound fence. In the eyes of many who saw them, the resulting pictures left the false impression that the Bosnian Muslims were caged behind barbed wire."

Then going on to para.6 -- of course, you can read it altogether and you have read it; I am just picking out some paragraphs which I wish to draw to your attention -- if you then go to para.6:

> "Penny Marshall, Ian Williams and Ed Villiamy have never called Trnopolje a concentration camp. They have criticised the way that others tried to use their reports and pictures as 'proof' of a Nazi-style Holocaust in Bosnia. Yet over the past four and a half years, none of them has told the full story about that barbed wire fence which made such an impact on world opinion."

If it is implied you have not told the full story, that means you have been hiding something and you can only hide something, members of the jury, if you know the true position. It follows as night follows day. So the plain implication so far, we say, when you read this article, is that they knew full well where they were filming from, they knew full well that Fikret Alic was not in prison, they knew he was not behind barbed wire and it was not anything but a refugee camp. We then turn over the page and we come to para.11:

> "The British news team's trip to Bosnia in the summer of 1992 took place against a background of mounting hysteria, as the first reports claiming that the Bosnian Serbs were running brutal internment camps were published in the West. On 19 July 1992, the American journalist Roy Gutman wrote in Newsday about the camp at Manjaca, and Andre Kaiser's pictures of prisoners with shaven heads at Manjaca were shown around the world. On 29 July in the Guardian, Maggie O'Kane quoted eye-witnesses who claimed that Muslims had been crammed into cattle cars and shipped off from Trnopolje station. On 2 August Roy Gutman published another article in which he called the Bosnian Serb camp at Omarska a 'death camp'. Gutman's and O'Kane's articles drew heavily on hearsay and unconfirmed Nevertheless they caused an international claims. sensation.

"When Marshall, Williams and Vulliamy arrived in Bosnia at the end of July 1992, they were

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54

55 56

under intense pressure to get the story of the camps."

Pausing there, you might ask yourself: "Who is putting them under intense pressure?"

> "Roy Gutman's article about the 'death camp' Omarska, published while the British team were in Bosnia, had further raised expectations in the London editorial offices."

Who is in the London editorial offices? It is ITN. That is the London editorial offices.

> "After her return Penny Marshall told how she and Williams had received orders from the managing editors of ITN and Channel 4 to do nothing else before they had the camps story in the bag:"

Pausing there, in other words, they were under orders when they went out there to bring back something which would confirm what they wanted to find.

> "'They had set Ian Williams and myself loose with an open-ended brief to find and visit the detention camps, and with orders to file nothing until we had come up with the story'."

"Come up with the story", in the context of the preceding words, plainly implies they had been given a brief, in other words, they had to set out to find these camps and find camps which confirmed the worst fears. In other words, they set out with prejudice, with a bias. Paragraph 13:

> "As the end of their trip approached, however, the British news team had been unable to find the camps story they were after. Their final stop was to be the refugee camp at Trnopolje, next to the village of Kozarac which had been overrun by Bosnian Serb units a few months earlier in May 1992. This was to be their last chance to get the story which their editors wanted."

"The story which their editors wanted." In other words, they were to go out, get this particular story and come back with it, irrespective of what they found.

> "The pictures they shot at Trnopolje camp on 5 August were edited in Budapest the next day, then sent to London and broadcast the same night. The broadcast centred on one of the journalists talking to Fikret Alic and the group of Bosnian Muslims through the barbed wire. These were the pictures which were widely interpreted as evidence that the Muslims were penned behind a barbed wire fence, and which the international media seized upon to make a symbolic

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14 15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 <u></u>39

40

41

42

43 44 45

46

47 48 49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

link to the Nazi camps. But how did the British team get them?

"I have looked through the rest of the team's film from Trnopolje, at the pictures which were not broadcast. They reveal a lot more about the story."

In other words, what they are revealing is what was never told and what was hidden. Then going over the page to para.20:

> "On her return, Penny Marshall wrote in the Sunday Times that 'Jeremy Irvin, our cameraman, knew he had come away with powerful imagines from Prijedorm, but only when we screened them in our Budapest editing suite did we begin to sense their impact.' Ed Villiamy summarised this impact in his book, Seasons in Hell: 'With his rib-cage behind the barbed wire of Trnopolje, Fikret Alic had become the symbolic figure of the war, on every magazine cover and television screen in the world.; Mike Jeremy, foreign editor of ITN, later called the picture 'one of the key images of the war in former Yugoslavia'.

"Yet an important element of that 'key image' had been produced by camera angles and editing."

"Camera angles and editing".

"The other pictures, which were not broadcast, show clearly that the large area on which the refugees were standing was not fenced-in with barbed wire."

So there is the sting, really, is it not?

"Yet an important element of the 'key image' had been produced by camera angles and editing."

In other words, it was a fabricated image, not a true image at all. It did not reflect what was going on at that camp. Now if we could just go down to para.23:

> "When I visited Trnopolje last December I asked local people about the camp and the barbed wire. Dragan Baltic, 17, went to school in Trnopolje until the spring of 1992. He is certain that, apart from the one around the small compound, 'there has been no other barbed wire fence'. His 19 year-old sister Dragana now works in a refugee centre in the school. Dragana confirms her brother's account."

Then I drop down to para.24:

"I met Pero Curguz in his office in Prijedor. He manages the regional Red Cross, and was stationed at Trnopolje during the operation of the refugee centre. He was interviewed by the

BEVERLEY F NUNNERY & CO OFFICIAL SHORTHAND WRITERS

1 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 52

53 54

55

56

57

British journalists in August 1992. He says he told them that the people had come to the camp of their own free will for protection."

We are going to look at that later, when we view the rushes, but do you remember those scenes? Do you remember the patrolling quards and the notion that those people were there for their protection?

> "He told me that, during the entire time of the operation of the camp, no fence had been erected. On the contrary: when the other camps In Keraterm and Omarska were closed, and Trnopolje became overcrowded with up to 7500 people, the refugees had pulled down fences and taken all other available materials to build shelters. Curgus stressed that this was no internment or prisoner camp; it was a collecting camp for exiled Muslims. Everybody I spoke to confirmed that the refugees could leave the camp area at almost any time."

Then we drop to the bottom:

"Misa Radulovic, 68, was a teacher in Kozarac and Trnopolje. Now he walks with a stick and is nearly blind. But like all other men considered able-bodied, he was enlisted in the army during the war and stationed as a camp guard in Trnopolje for three days. @We protected the Muslims from Serbian extremists who wanted to take revenge', he said. 'The people could leave the camp without papers, but this was dangerous. A barbed wire fence existed only at this corner around the barn, this little shop for rural products and the electricity station.'"

Then over the page:

"Without doubt most of the refugees in Trnopolje were undernourished. Civilians were harassed in the camp, and there were reports of some rapes and murders. Yet the irony is that, if this collection centre for refugees had not existed under the supervision of Bosnian Serb soldiers, a far greater number of Muslim civilians might have lost their lives."

Then going over to para.36 opposite:

"A year after the ITN pictures were first broadcast, Penny Marshall reacted to the suggestion that her report might have been sensationalist: 'I bent over backwards. I showed guards - Bosnian Serb guards feeding the prisoners. I showed a small Muslim child who had come of his own volition. I didn't call them death camps. I was incredibly careful, but again and again we see that image being used.' Despite her plea of objectivity, however, she did not explain how 'that

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 ു **20**

21

22 23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35 36

37

38

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

39

image' of Fikret Alic behind barbed wire had been
produced by her team."

Note the words "produced by her team". In other words, created by them. You have read the article, members of It is going to be for you and not for me to decide the jury. at the end of the trial what to believe. You have already heard our submissions as to what it means. But those passages I have highlighted to you we say could have left the reader under no doubt as to what was being alleged. What was being alleged is that in accordance with their instructions in effect from London, they set out to find damaging images of the war, they had reached Trnopolje having found no such images and this was their last chance and they took that chance by essentially fabricating, distorting, deliberately altering this image in a way which would cause the maximum effect.

You can see when you read the article how the author puts it, the context in which he puts it. He puts it in the context of this being as it were a harmless, safe refuge for people. In other words, anyone having read that article would conclude that Mr. Fikret Alic could walk around behind that barbed wire and he was there through choice, he and the other people there. When we see it again, I ask you to keep the one abiding image of people, how they stood behind that wire, how, for example, people would hold the fence, but also the presence throughout of patrolling armed guards. It is very curious to have patrolling armed guards when in fact you are free to go as you want.

Now, members of the jury, you might agree with me that these are very great charges. It is right, as you also know, that they have never been withdrawn by the defendants and that is the reason we are here. In fact, the very reverse. What was their position, as you will hear during the course of this Firstly -- and this is something which is very trial. They say that no-one reading important -- they say this. either the press release or the article or the covering editorial would have understood them to refer to ITN. I have not touched on it yet, but it is only right you should also know what the editorial does say and we will have to go back together and just briefly look at that now.

"First Casualty?

"What is the responsibility of a war reporter? Post-Bosnia, the fashionable view is that journalists cannot be neutral, but have a duty to side with the angels.

"Top correspondent Martin Bell, who has reported for the BBC on conflicts from Vietnam in the sixties to the former Yugoslavia in the nineties, is now leading a campaign against what he calls the 'by-stander's journalism' of traditional war reporting.

1

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57

"'I do not believe we should stand neutrally between good and evil', Bell told the prestigious News World '96 conference in Berlin in November. 'My answer is what I call the journalism of attachment, journalism which cares as well as knows.'

"Hmmm . Once journalists see fit to appoint themselves as the judge of who is 'good' and who is 'evil' in a conflict such as Bosnia, you know you are in trouble. The role of objective reporter of fact sits uneasily with that of moral crusader."

You might think you ought to underline that passage, because that is really saying that you cannot be objective if you are going to be a crusader.

> "If you are not very careful, journalists who have some kind of emotional 'attachment' to one side can end up seeing what they want to see, rather than what is really there. When truth is deemed to be in the eye of the beholder, the line between reportage and propaganda can get stretched thinner than a string of barbed wire.

> "If every picture tells a story, then it is surely part of a war reporter's job to ensure that story is true. Here at LM we see nothing wrong with taking sides. We tend to be something of a partisan publication ourselves. Taking sides, however, cannot be an excuse for taking liberties with the facts."

11.45

1 2

3 4

5 6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32 33

34 35

36 37

38

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

51

)39 40

There again, it is a reinforcement of the message of the article, is it not? The allegation that they have taken sides and therefore in effect they have taken liberties with the facts. As I said, nothing in that press release, nothing in that article and indeed nothing in that editorial has ever been withdrawn by the defendants. Indeed they seek to support it. What they say firstly is this: when it comes to ITN they say that no-one who read the press release, no-one who read the article and no-one who read that editorial would have ever understood the allegations which they make to refer to ITN. It is very important that we grasp this now because their case is different as between ITN and Penny Marshall and Ian Williams. You might think that is somewhat of a strange stance to adopt. Let me tell you why by inviting you to look quickly at the press release again which is in tab 4. You will see there in the third paragraph:

The picture of Fikret Alic was taken from videotape shot at Trnopolje on 5 August 1992 by an award-winning British television team led by Penny Marshall (ITN)..." So one immediately knows who she is working for in the context of a press release which was suggesting there has been fabrication and the broadcast of that fabrication. But even more significantly, when you come to the article which develops the theme, we invite you to look at paras.12, 13 and 14 which I read out to you just now:

> "When Marshall, Williams and Vulliamy arrived in Bosnia at the end of July 1992, they were under intense pressure to get the story of the camps..."

Remember I said to you that the intense pressure had only come from outside; therefore it had to be coming from their employers.

"Roy Gutman's article about the 'death camp' Omarska, published while the British team were in Bosnia, had further raised expectations in the London editorial offices."

that is obviously a reference to those who sent them out their and who employed them.

"After her return Penny Marshall told how she and williams had received orders from the managing editors of ITN and Channel 4 to do nothing else before they had the camps story in the bag..."

That is plainly a reference, we say, to ITN because they are the people giving the orders. You know the nature of the orders; you have got to have it in the bag.

> "They had set Ian Williams and myself loose with an open-ended brief to find and visit the detention camps, and with orders to file nothing until we had come up with the story.

As the end of their trip approached, however, the British news team had been unable to find the camps story they were after. Their final stop was to the refugee camp at Trnopolje, next to the village of Kozarac which had been overrun by Bosnian Serb units a few months earlier in May 1992. This was to be their last chance to get the story which their editors wanted."

- in other words, the people back home.

"The pictures they shot at Trnopolje camp on 5 August were edited in Budapest the next day, then sent to London and broadcast the same night."

53 We say those three paragraphs prove unequivocally that the 54 state of this libel was directed to ITN as much as to Penny 55 Marshall and Ian Williams, but there it is; the defendants 56 say to you - and they will no doubt address you on this - that 57 no reasonable person reading the press release, reading the

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37 38

ं 39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47 48

49

50 51

article, or reading the editorial would ever think it could have referred to ITN. I ask you to ask yourself: in that case what are those three paragraphs doing there? The significance of that is that unlike their case in relation to Penny Marshall and Ian Williams they do not suggest that it is They do not suggest if it does defame them that there true. is any truth in it. They are not suggesting that ITN set out to produce a distorted image and distorted picture; they do not suggest that ITN had any part in the falsification or fabrication of that image. All they say is that it does not refer to ITN. However, in relation to Penny Marshall and Ian Williams, they say that the allegations made in the press release, in the article and in the editorial are true. They do not, however, accept that they bear the meanings which I have put before you. They say they bear slightly different meanings, and I am now going to read out to you what they say those meanings are. They say that they bore these meanings the press release, just as much as the articles and the accompanying editorial - that Penny Marshall and Ian Williams had compiled television footage which deliberately misrepresented an emaciated Bosnian Muslim, Fikret Alic (p.32) as being caged behind a barbed wire fence at the Serbian Trnopolje camp on 5th August 1992 by the selective use of They say that they failed to explain video tape shots. publicly that the said shots with Fikret Alic standing outside the barbed wire fence which surrounded the area from which the cameraman was filming when the said and speedy image of Fikret Alic was widely interpreted as evidence that the Bosnian Serbs were running Nazi style concentration camps, and that they ought, in those circumstances, to have given such a public explanation but have discreditably failed to do so. You will note there that there is no allegation in relation to their conduct or their reporting of Ormaska.

You will remember, members of the jury, that both the article and the press release make great play of the fact that Trnopolje was a collection camp for refugees and not a prison. You can see that in tab 4 in the press release:

"* there was no barbed wire fence surrounding the Trnopolje camp.

* the camp was a collection centre for refugees, not a prison."

That is what they are saying - and that is echoed again and again in that article, which is why I read those particular passages to you - do you remember the man from the Red Cross from the camp. That is the Serbian Red Cross, not the international Red Cross. Therefore, what they must be suggesting, we say to you, is that Fikret Alic was not in prison behind barbed wire and therefore, by plain implication, he was not in prison at all. What they do say, therefore, is this: it was in effect the journalists who were caged in. What is more, not only were they caged in when they did the filming, but they must have known they were caged in because if they did not know they were caged in they could not be

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39 40

> 41 42 43

> 44

45

46

47 48

49

50 51

52 53

54

55

quilty of any deliberate distortion. They were caged in and Therefore they had deliberately manufactured they knew it. (played with) the image - falsely created an image which fooled the world. You will hear from every member of the two ITN teams who went out there. They were there; you will have an opportunity to judge each of them, as to whether they are There is one thing that may have struck telling the truth. you about the nature of this defence - that it could not have been done alone. In order for the image to have been falsified, distorted (whatever word you used) it would have needed, because it also needed the editing process as well, Indeed, it all those people who went there, working together. would almost, one thinks, extend to the editors who were sent out because it is an image which fooled the world. It was an image which was transmitted which has fooled people. You are going to have a chance to see each and every one of those people; hear what it was like; what was their impressions of the camp and whether they set out to deceive at all. You will hear it is our case that Fikret Alic and those other people you saw were indeed within the enclosure. There was an enclosure which was almost entirely fenced in with barbed wire or chicken wire. But most importantly of all they were not, as far as anyone can see, free to go, free to leave. No-one would choose to be in that condition - in the condition you What is more, as I have said already, you will note and saw. remember the presence of the Serbian armed guards patrolling.

The defendants also raise another defence. They say the words of which Ian Williams and Penny Marshall complain also contain a comment about their conduct - and you can see where the comment may be found, it is in that editorial, namely that they ought, in the light of the misinterpretation of the picture of Fikret Alic, have given a public explanation of how the shots were taken but have discreditably failed to do so. In other words they should have come clean about what they had done and told the world.

It is only right that I tell you this, members of the our law recognises the right in every citizen - it is jury: not just newspaper editors and journalists - to comment on matters of public interest and to hold strong, even obstinate, or prejudiced or highly charged views. What you will be asked to decide is whether a reasonable person, however passionate, obstinate, prejudiced could have held such a view, a view expressed, perhaps, in that editorial in February 1997 about the conduct of Ian Williams and Penny Marshall in February But you should also know this: the right to make 1992. comments about other people, about their conduct, to express your opinions about them, is not absolute. It has two Firstly, and you might think this is qualifications. reasonably sensible, whilst comment is free facts are still You will need to be satisfied that facts regarded as sacred. existed in 1997 which could have supported the comments which were being made about Penny Marshall and Ian Williams. Our law also requires that a defendant tells you what facts existed at the time which are relied upon to support that comment. You just cannot fire from the hip; you have to have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

some bases for making the comment and you have to identify the facts that support it. Now you will be interested to know that in this case these defendants rely upon almost the selfsame facts as they rely upon to say it is true. So, it may well be the question of comment does not arise, if you accept from us that their facts do not go anywhere near supporting the allegations which they have made against these two journalists.

Secondly, and you might think this is also quite a sensible protection, the protection afforded by the defence of fair comment - that is the right for comment - is lost. You lose it if we, that is the claimant (the person bringing the action) can establish that when this comment was published the persons who made it (or who caused it to be published) were actuated by malice. Now, malice is a purist term but it has a special and legal meaning in this context. What it means is it means if you publish something which you know to be this: false or you are reckless as to its truth or falsity. Now, despite the advance of modern technology we clearly cannot look into people's heads and we cannot look into people's heads in 1997, so what do we do? What we do is look at the surrounding circumstances in order to try and work out what was motivating someone at a particular time. And your task may be at the end of this case to ask yourself: "How can I judge what was the motive of the editor of LM magazine and his fellow publishers in February 1997 when they chose to issue the press release or when they chose to publish the article?"

Now, we say there are three pieces of evidence which collectively might throw some light on this question. Firstly, we say that Mr. Hume, who was the editor of LM, was content with adopting a hostile stance towards journalists in the West and Western powers and felt for the pro-Bosnian Muslims. Indeed, his aversion to those he thought were hostile to the Serbian position even extended to attacking the international tribunal which had been set up by the United Nations to charge and prosecute those accused of crimes against humanity during that conflict. In other words, this was a golden opportunity for Mr. Hume to smear ITN and its journalists, the kind of people he felt were adopting his Pro-Bosnian Muslim stance.

Now, what we are going to put before you to support that particular averment are some articles which Mr. Hume has either written or allowed to be published in the newspaper. Now, if you look at tab 7 you will see there an article which is published - do not be taken in by the date at the top, 13/6/97, you have to go to the very end to find the date. This has come off a machine and it says "Reproduced from Living Marxism ... July 1992". So you have to go back to see where it came from. This is an article published in LM magazine which is headed:

"'White niggers' of the new world order

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56 57

: 39

"Eddie Veale on how and why America, Britain and Germany have constructed the Serbian demon."

The thrust of this article is that there is a western bias against Serbians. If you turn over the page you will see the heading "Western bias". You will see there:

> "Look beyond the borders of Bosnia and Croatia, and the frantic concern to condemn Serbia seems even more one-sided. While the Yugoslav conflicts has grabbed all the headlines, the Armenians have been rampaging through Azerbaijan. Yet the Western governments and experts who are so keen to lecture the Serbs about violence have seemed far less concerned about the violent clashes further east."

Then we look to the next page:

"Nato rules

"The US administration had wanted no part of the campaign against Serbia when the Germans were leading the charge. Now, however, it has become a handy excuse for Washington (and London) to play up the importance of their military alliance, Nato, as against the emerging Euro-Army run from Bonn and Paris. That is the main reason why Serbs have suddenly appeared all over our newspapers depicted as monkeys and mass murderers."

Then if you turn over the page you will find an article written by Mr. Hume himself in June 1995. This article is essentially an attack on the setting up of the war crimes tribunal. I am not going to read it all. It will probably be read to you in the course of the trial but I suspect you have had enough of things being read to you. So I will just read parts.

> "The war crimes tribunal for the former Yugoslavia is set to try its first case, and the war crimes tribunal for Rwanda is also warming up for action. The wheels of world justice, we are told, are finally turning. But the peoples of the world are far from equal before this new system of globo-law.

> "The UN-sponsored tribunals are institutionalising a double standard in international politics. They have been set up on the implicit assumption that the white, Western powers and their allies do not commit war crimes. In their eyes it seems that war criminals are always Serbs Hutus, or Iraqis - in any case, wogs of one sort [or] another.

54"Dusan Tadic, A 39-year old Serb, has been extradited55from Germany to face trial before the war crimes56tribunal in The Hague. Tadic has been charged with 1357counts of murder, torture and rape of Muslim prisoners

1

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

in Bosnia. When he appeared at the pretrial hearing to plead not guilty in late April, it was proudly announced as the first international war crimes hearing since the Nuremburg trials that followed the Second World War.

"Yet the crimes of which Tadic stands accused are hardly the first - and far from the worst - atrocities that have been committed during the wars of the past half-century. So why is the UN Security Council suddenly setting up war crimes trials for the first time in 50 years?"

Then if we go over the page, not the next page or the next page but the page which has as its first paragraph "and Western governments". You will see in the second paragraph:

> "The war crimes tribunals can ignore the facts behind their chosen conflicts because their brief has nothing to do with achieving justice. These are political showtrials set up by the USA and its allies on the United Nations Security Council to demonstrate the divide between the moral nations of the West and the essentially immoral peoples of the rest of the word; between the savages in the dock and civilised men who sit in judgment on them."

Two paragraphs after that:

"The war crimes trials give the politics of racial inferiority a veneer of legality. Their real character as an exercise in demonstrating Western authority and power is revealed, however, by the lack of any basis in law for these tribunals. The UN Security Council is simply making up the law on war crimes as it goes along, handing its appointees the right to interfere in the internal affairs of member states and put their citizens on trial - a direct infringement of the UN charter. This precedent can only pave the way for further military interventions around the world in the name of law and order - like the 1993 US 'posse' which blew apart Mogadishu in search of the Somali 'warlord' General Aideed."

Then finally, members of the jury, and this was published in July 1997, that is very soon after this article but we say you can read this article and get a very good feel as to what motivates Mr. Hume.

> "Helen Searls cross-examines the Internal national Tribunal's judgement against the Bosnian Serb militiaman whom it found guilty of 'crimes against humanity'.

And she says it is:

56 57

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51 52

53

54 55

"Time to put the War Crime Tribunal in the dock."

1 2	MR.	JUSTICE MORLAND: This is tab 9?
2 3 4	MR.	SHIELDS: This is tab 9, I do apologise.
5 6	MR.	JUSTICE MORLAND: Perhaps you could start again with that.
7 8	MR.	SHIELDS: Tab 9.
9 10		"Time to put the War Crimes Tribunal in the dock.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17		"The International Tribunal at The Hague decreed in May that the Bosnian Serb Dusko Tadic was guilty of war crimes and crimes against humanity in the former Yugoslavia. Pending an appeal, the judges are expected to sentence Tadic to life imprisonment later on this year.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		"Reaction to the verdict was mixed. Some, including the trial judges, saw it as a good day for human rights. Others have been more critical. On both sides of the Atlantic many complained the verdict was 'too little too late'. Within minutes of the verdict, Bosnia correspondent turned MP Martin Bell complained that only seven of the 74 people wanted for 'war crimes' in the former Yugoslavia are in custody. He urged Western Governments to do more to bring the war criminals to justice."
29 30		If you go over the page to the second paragraph:
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41		"Throughout the trial the presentation made much of the charges against Tadic. Less widely publicised was the fact that the judges decided there was evidence to convict Tadic of only 11 of the total of 31 counts against him. What is more, all of the more serious specific charges of gang rape, sexual mutilation and murder were thrown out. In all he was found guilty of beating 14 Muslim men and of a 'crime against humanity' which is defined as 'persecution'. It is worth examining these charges a little more closely.
42 43 45 46 47 48 49 51 52 53		"Tadic was convicted of involvement in violent beatings, and the court heard harrowing testimonies from men who suffered severe pain, fear and indignity. But however brutal such tales, when compared with the actions of the men who previously occupied the defendant's seat in a war crimes tribunal, Tadic's actions seem mundane and insignificant. In fact when you consider the fact that the Prijedor region was in the midst of a fears and bloody conflict, it is hard to believe that Tadic's actions were in any way exceptional."
55 54 55 56		Then if you look over the page to p.4 - it has that in the top right hand corner - the third paragraph down:

.

"Which brings us back to our question: why did the UN Security Council choose this moment and this conflict, after 50 years, to set up a war crimes tribunal? To answer this it is necessary to look way beyond Bosnia. The International Tribunal only makes sense if it seen as a product of the West's search for a righteous role in the post-Cold War world, rather than of any search for truth and justice in Bosnia.

"If the Tribunal was simply concerned with justice then the UN would surely have paid more attention to its own legal procedures to ensure that justice was done. For a start, somebody could have seriously asked whether such an international tribunal has any legal basis on which to intervene around the war in the former Yugoslavia."

We will come back to that later, members of the jury, when I cross-examine Mr. Hume. But I would like to think you have a flavour of his contempt and his magazine's contempt for that tribunal. We say that throws some light on why he chose this occasion to attack the claimants.

I said there were three matters to be put before you which might help you decide and come to a conclusion what the motive was for publishing this. The second one is this: as you will hear the defendants were in the process of relaunching the magazine, and we say what better way to attract publicity and to boost the magazine's fortunes than by publishing what they obviously regarded as a sensational article. We know they regarded it as that because they took the step of issuing the press release before the article came out and sending it to numerous media organisations no doubt in order to attract attention to it.

Thirdly, and you might think this is really the most significant of the matters, Mr. Hume and his fellow publishers could not take what you might think is the obvious step of finding out whether the allegations were true. Because if you are going to accuse someone of distortion, deliberately falsifying by camera angles and editing, would you not think it was fair and just to put those allegations to the people who you were making them against before you went to print? It is not as if he did not know the names of the people involved because you get the names very clearly from the press release and again from the article. There is reference to the cameramen, there a reference to the claimants, Penny Marshall The ITN offices are in London. You might and Ian Williams. why does someone not choose to put allegations, ask yourself: very serious allegations, to someone before publishing them? You might come up with the answer that the reason is that they are not prepared to take the risk of finding out that they may be untrue. Because if they are untrue, you do not have an article to publish, do you?

Members of the jury, at the end of this trial I am going to invite you by your verdict to vindicate the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19) 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

57

Our law does not allow you to order the defendants claimants. to publish an apology. All you can do in a libel action, in an action brought to reclaim reputation, is make an award of The award of damages is aimed to compensate the damages. damage to reputation for the hurt to feelings. It is not to The award should by its size reflect the injury which punish. they have suffered and reflects what you may think is the hurt of having the allegations aired again to the world in the course of the next 10 or 15 days. And the size of the award is your attempt to show the world that these allegations were simply untrue. At the end of the trial I will invite you to make an award to ITN, and you will bear in mind of course that it is not suggested against ITN that this article and these allegations are true, it is not part of their case, and I will invite you to make an award to Penny Marshall and Ian First you are going to hear them and you are going Williams. to see them cross-examined and you will see cross-examined all the people who were involved in the production of those broadcasts, and it is for you to judge them.

- MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Thank you. Mr. Shields, would this be a convenient moment for the jury to have the list of the names and occupations of the various witnesses and the chronology and the set up at ITN?
- MR. SHIELDS: Yes. Shall I tell them what I am handing them?
- MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes.
 - 12.15 p.m.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

45

48

50

57

- Members of the jury, it is has been suggested by his 32 MR. SHIELDS: Lordship that it would be helpful to you to have a list of the 33 people who worked for ITN who were involved in this with their 34 35 respective jobs, because you cannot be expected to remember all the names I have thrown at you. Plus those at Living 36 A diagram which shows what the organisation was at 37 Marxism. 38 ITN in July and August 1992, the hierarchy. So you can work out who was were and who gave what instructions. 39 Thirdly, a chronology, a claimants' chronology of the movement during 40 July and August 1992 because I have thrown quite a lot of 41 42 dates at you, I have thrown quite a lot of names at you, and 43 this way you will have your own guide. They have been 44 prepared and I can now hand them up.
 - 46 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Mr. Millar, these are agreed to be correct, 47 are they?
 - 49 MR. MILLAR: They are, my Lord, yes.

51 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Thank you. What was handed up to me, 52 Mr. Shields, is identical, is it, to what has been handed to 53 the jury subject to -- I think the chronology is now more 54 accurate ---55

56 MR. SHIELDS: That is right, my Lord.

BEVERLEY F NUNNERY & CO OFFICIAL SHORTHAND WRITERS

1 2	MR.	MILLAR: Has your Lordship got the latest chronology?
3	MR.	JUSTICE MORLAND: I have got the latest chronology.
4 5 6	MR.	MILLAR: That is right. You should have everything. Do you think it might be better at the front of the bundle?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR.	JUSTICE MORLAND: I think it is a good idea. Mine are towards the front of my bundle. If you look in the front of your bundle they have got holes. It is entirely up to you whether you at times want to take them out, but it is probably easier to keep them in the front of your bundle. If a name is mentioned you can look it up and say: "Who's that, and what is her or his job?"
16 17 18		<u>Mr. IAN KEITH WILLIAMS</u> , Sworn Examined by Mr. SHIELDS
19 20	Q	Your full name, please, Mr. Williams? A. Ian Keith Williams.
22 23	Q	And your address? A. 581 Soy 33, Sukemvik Road, Bangkok, Thailand.
24 25 26	Q	You are a journalist for ITN, is that right? A. That is correct.
27 28 29	Q	How old are you now? A. 41.
30 31	Q	And you were born on 12th September 1958? A. Yes.
32 33 34	Q	Where were you educated? A. I was educated at Cray Valley School for Boys in Sidcup, Kent.
34 35 36	Q	And after that did you go to university? A. Yes, I did.
37 38	Q	Where did you go? A. To Keele University.
39 40	Q	What did you study at Keele University? A. I studied politics and economics.
41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Q	After you graduated what did you do to earn a living? A. Immediately after I graduated I travelled for a year in Asia and the Far East. I then worked for a series of specialist magazines and also doing freelance work at that time for the BBC World Service and a number of publications including the Guardian , the Economist and the Sunday Times .
48 49 50 51 52	Q	Had you done any journalism while you were at university? A. Yes, I had worked on student magazines, both in terms of articles and also book reviews, mainly on issues related to Third World development and aid issues.
53 54 55 56 57	Q	Did there come a time when you did some work for the BBC World Service radio programmes? A. I did. I did a lot of work for a number of World Service radio programmes including, I think, Outlook and one or two others of that ilk.

* ** *

- Were you mainly dealing with foreign affairs? 0 Α. It was a It was, I would say, predominantly foreign range of things. affairs but also science and development related issues.
- There came a time, I think in 1985, when you were offered a 0 staff job on the Sunday Times, is that right? Α. That is right. I had been working a lot for the Sunday Times as a In fact I was occupying for several months freelance writer. a desk at the Sunday Times and I guess there came a time when I was earning a lot as a freelance and it became cheaper to employ me and put me on the staff. At that point I was offered a job in the business section of the Sunday Times, subsequently becoming business correspondent, and I worked for four years for the Sunday Times.
- I think that was until 1989? That is right. Q Α.
- Were you then sort of approached by Channel 4 News? 0 I was very familiar with Nicholas Owen, who was then Α. Yes. the business and economics correspondent on Channel 4 News. I had also met Richard Tait, then the editor of Channel 4 News, and they encouraged me to apply for a job that was becoming vacant on that programme.
- That is Richard Tait sitting there, is that right? 0 It is, yes. Α.
- So you joined Channel 4 News in 1989 and did you work on just 0 business stories then? Α. I began by working on business stories but this was at a time when business reporting was changing quite rapidly because of the single market and the emergence of Europe as an important business story. So although I started to do a lot of business-related reporting I broadened the remit quite considerably to include stories in Continental Europe, a lot out of Brussels, and the emergence of the single market. At the same time I was determined to broaden my own reporting and begin to do more foreign-based and international reporting.
- Let us just focus on one or two things you may have done 40 Q Did you in 1990/91 do anything to do with the Iraqi 41 then. government, for example? A. I did. This was in the lead 42 up to the Gulf War when there was a great deal of speculation 43 over the origin of arms for Iraq and including a number of 44 scandals involving British companies who were exposed as being 45 46 suppliers, directly or indirectly, to Iraq. I had done a certain amount of research on this. 47 In the course of researching it I had come across a related story about the 48 death of a British journalist in Santiago, Chile. What had 49 happened was there was a British specialist defence reporter 50 who had been found dead, an apparent suicide, in his hotel 51 52 room in Santiago. I had done a certain amount of 53 investigation because the company he was investigating was 54 implicated as an arms supplier or an intermediary for arms for Iraq, and I visited Chile twice in the course of those 55 investigations and we published two lengthy reports which 56 managed to establish that this was extremely suspect, that it 57

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

was not a suicide and that people had very good reason to want to see him dead. As a direct result of our investigations the case was reopened and subsequently it was declared as a murder, and I believe there was someone apprehended, perhaps three or four years ago, who they finally found to be guilty of his murder.

- Q So did you find yourself working more and more abroad as a result of this? A. I did. I was doing more and more foreign reporting. We conducted a number of investigations into arms supplies for Iraq which involved travelling, as I say, to Chile and also to Miami, through which arms had been smuggled. We also established a link between Marconi, the British company, and the Chilean intermediary for the supply of smart mine technology to the Iraqis.
- Q I am sorry to interrupt you, but did you then report on the Kuwait war at all? A. I did. I began reporting about the Kuwait war in New York, covering proceedings at the United Nations as there were desperate attempts to try and avert the war. Then after the bombing had begun I went to Saudi Arabia and was one of the first journalists to travel into Kuwait two or three days after the Iraqis had been evicted from that city. That really gave me the first taste and the first flavour of war reporting.
- Q Were you then appointed in 1992 the Moscow correspondent for Channel 4 News? A. That is right. I took up that appointment. I had spent some time in Moscow doing cover for the then correspondence Gaby Rado and I took over from him in April 1992.
- Q So you moved out to Moscow and you rented a flat in Southern Moscow? A. That is right. I rented a flat on Leninski Prospek, which is one of the main thoroughfares to the south of Moscow.
- 38 Q Did you succeed Gaby Rado as correspondent? A. I did.
 - Q Did you have a producer in Moscow who worked with you? A. Yes. When I arrived in Moscow the producer was Andy Braddel.
- Just help us here. What is the role of producer? It is a 44 Q term we hear a lot but what does he actually do? Α. It is 45 a fairly wide-ranging role. The producer's job is very much 46 to help me in terms of the production of a television news 47 report. He will produce ideas, will contribute towards ways 48 of treating a subject, working closely with me, in other 49 words, in terms of the filming of the ideas for and the 50 construction of a news feature once we have got the raw 51 material. He will also tend to work very closely with the 52 cameraman and with the editor both in terms of gathering 53 material when, perhaps, I am preoccupied doing something else 54 and also in terms of helping them in putting a news report 55 together. So he is kind of my right-hand man but also working 56

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

:39

40

41

42 43

very closely with the technical team, a cameraman and an editor.

- Did ITN, I think, have two teams out in Moscow? Q They had a permanent team for Channel 3, ITV ---Α. That is right. We had ---
 - -- and indeed for Channel 4? A. Yes, we had quite a big 0 It was a very lively time in the Soviet Union. bureau. It was a period when the whole place was falling apart and it was in chaos. Gorbachev had resigned. Yeltzin had taken over. The place was in turmoil. It was a great time to be there as a journalist simply because no doors were closed any more. Your ability to go places, to meet people, to do stories was very much a product of your own tenacity. There was a great opportunity to exercise pure journalism and to get original stories because suddenly the place was opening up and it was chaotic.
- 20 Is it right that you covered some of the conflicts which were 0 occurring on the fringes of Russia? 21 Α. That is right. 22 There was a widespread feeling at that time that the Soviet Union was ripping itself apart. The new republics, 23 24 particularly in the southern part of the Soviet Union, it seemed that the first thing one ethnic minority wanted to do 25 26 with their new freedom was to bash the one next door over the 27 head with pent-up anger, pent-up jealousies, pent-up hatreds over the years; that with the disintegration of the Soviet 28 Union the different republics, the different groups in the 29 30 fringes of the Soviet Union, all these conflicts came to the fore and it seemed -- myself and Andy and our camera team for 31 perhaps three or four months, at the beginning of my taking up 32 33 the appointment in Moscow, we seemed to do nothing but travel 34 to trouble spots around Southern Russia, that is Southern Soviet Union as it was - Moldava, Azerbaijan, Georgia, 35 36 Tajikstan - places where there were several conflicts. At the time there was a great deal of attention being paid to 37 38 this and people wanted to hear about it because there was a ____39 widespread view that the Soviet Union as it was was going to completely tear itself apart in an orgy of violence. That did 40 41 not happen but that is how it was looking at the time and we spent a lot of time down there jumping from one of these 42 43 conflicts to another one.

45 Now I want to take you from Russia over to Yugoslavia, to the Q events of July and August 1992. Tell us how you came to leave 46 47 your Moscow base and end up in Budapest in July 1992? 48 Well, I had just returned from one of these trips to the Α. In fact my fiancee had just joined me, this is in late 49 south. July 1992, in Moscow. Believe it or not the weather can be 50 good in Moscow in the summer and we were planning to take a 51 52 vacation, and it was a day or two after she had joined me that 53 I received a telephone call from our then foreign editor in 54 London, Sue Inglish, and she informed me about a challenge 55 that Channel 4 in London had made to Radovan Karadzic and to which he had said: "Sure. Come and investigate for 56 57 yourselves." This was the challenge relating to the existence

1 2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

44

 \geq

or not of alleged concentration camps in parts of Bosnia and Serbia. She asked me whether I could get to Budapest as soon as possible and we discussed the make up of a team that would be suitable to go and investigate these allegations.

Did she give you details of the alleged atrocities? Had she Q She briefed me as to -- I was briefed you? She did. Α. broadly aware of the sort of rumours, the sort of allegations that had been flying around. This was early days in the Yugoslav conflict and words like "ethnic cleansing" and rumours of atrocities were really only beginning to emerge. I had frankly been a little sceptical about them because my experience from the Soviet Union -- I know there are all kinds of allegations and rumours that emerge in these sort of conflicts. But Sue briefed me more fully about the nature of the allegations in Bosnia, and I believe faxed me some articles which had appeared in the British and American press.

12.33 p.m.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ 21

> Yes, I will come to those in a minute. As far as you were 0 concerned, what was your brief, if I can put it in that way? My brief was to travel as speedily as I could to Budapest Α. on to Belgrade, to make contact with Dr. Karadzic's people in Belgrade with a view to going to establish the truth or the falsity of the allegations that had been made.

If you had found the allegations to be false, would you have 0 reported that? A. We certainly would have done; that was crucial to the brief that I was given, that we were to go and report on these allegations, we were to take up the challenge, take up the offer that had been made by Karadzic and we were to report whether they were true or whether they were false. There were so many allegations flying around at that time of the most appalling inhumanity, that the most important thing was to establish was it true or was it false; and either way would provide us with our story.

- Q Now, you flew out to Budapest the next day, is that right? That's right. Α.
 - I think we now know that to be the 30th, and I think there you Q met the other three members of your team. Α. Yes.

Q Now let us just establish who they are. One was Andy Braddel. Now, you have mentioned Andy Braddel already as your producer Where was Andy Braddel at the time, do you know? in Moscow. Andy was then on holiday and I believe he was passing back Α. through London, just prior to returning to Mosco.

52 And you are obviously used to working with him? Q Α. Oh, yes, I mean, Andy and I worked very closely together in some 53 very dodgy circumstances in Russia. Also I figured that Andy 54 55 would be a good choice because his fluency in Russian would help us because Russian tends to be the second language in the 56 former Yugoslavia, and we thought that in circumstances where 57

we are trying to establish the truth or falsity it would be very useful to have somebody who spoke a language which they did.

Q I think the third person was James Nicholas. James Nicholas I am right in saying is a cameraman and an editor? A. That's right. James is also one of the most experienced, even one of the most experienced war cameramen, that Channel Four News had. I'd worked with James before, respected him enormously and was very keen to have him with us on that team.

- Q You met, as we have heard, in Budapest. Did you collect any equipment there? A. Yes, we the ----
- Q One more person joined you. I am sorry, Mr. Hease, Chris Hease. A. Chris Hease is a sound recordist also from London. It wasn't always the habit to work with a sound recordist on ordinary stories, but it was the policy of ITN to work with a full team in war zones, anything which - going into dangerous situations we would also always work with a full team of four people.
- Q And what equipment were you provided with? A. We had the standard equipment, if you like, for making the programme. We had camera kit which would involve cameras as well as batteries, battery chargers, tripod, we also had other equipment that would have included flack jackets, hard helmets - I would say altogether probably 150 or 200 kilos of equipment.
- Q Now, when you were out in Budapest, were you faxed a copy of the Russian Bosnian Muslim list of alleged concentration camps? A. I was.
- Q Hold on a minute; have you got a bundle there? A. I have, yes.
- Q Let us just take this in stages. I think we have all got this, so we will just do it together, this is the one which is at tab 1. Is that the list which was faxed to you? A. It is, yes.
- Q And that is the list that which we see. It says "list of
 prisons/concentration camps controlled by the aggressor". So
 we assume that was issued by the Bosnian Muslims?
 A. That is right.
- Q That was the list you received. You also told us that you
 received some copies of articles being written by Mr. Gutman
 and Maggie O'Kane. Is that why you were in Budapest?
 A. Yes. I believe those articles would have been faxed to
 me, to the best of my recollection, in Moscow but further
 articles were faxed to me in Budapest.

MR. SHIELDS: If your Lordship is happy with this we have prepared a number of documents which I am going to introduce in the course of Mr. Williams' evidence. My learned friend knows

1

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34.

35

36

37 38

40

41

42

39

1 2 3		about this. They have all been tabed for index. If I hand them in as of one now the jury can put them in their bundle rather than doing it at a sheet at a time.
4 5 6	MR.	JUSTICE MORLAND: Yes. That would be appropriate. Mr. Millar?
7 8 9	MR.	MILLAR: No objection.
10 11 12 13	MR.	SHIELDS: Then, members of the jury, it is tabs 10 to 18 and hopefully they will fit into your bundle. (Handed) If you turn to tab 10 you will find a print out of Mr. Gutman's article. Is that the one that you read? A. Yes.
14 15 16 17	Q	I think we can tell from the first paragraph - I do not intend to read it all:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		"While the World's attention has been focused on the siege at Sarajevo the Serbian conquest of Muslim towns and villages and the mass deportations of Muslims and Croats proceeded at in Northern Bosnia, Herzegovinia. Newspaper reporter Roy Gutman and freelance photographer Andrew Caese visited the area last week, the first western journalists there since the war began. This is the first of several reports of the systematic efforts by the Serbs and Serbian army to eliminate any trace of other ethnic groups."
28 29 30		I think if one looks at that there is the capital Manjaka(?) is that right? A. Yes.
31 32 33 34	Q	Do you get that from the third paragraph down and again in the fourth paragraph? That was an article you read? A. Yes.
35 36 37	Q	Dealing with his visits to that camp? A. Yes.
37 38 39 40 41	Q	Then if we turn to tab 11, and this is an article written by someone in bold type at the Guardian, Maggie O'Kaye. Is that right? A. That is right.
42 43	Q	That is dated Wednesday, July 29th. That was the day before you left? A. Yes.
44 45 46 47	Q	And that is "Muslims' nightmare under the long hot Yugoslav sun." I think that is describing a report from her from areas of Bosnia held by Serbs? A. Yes.
48 49 50 51 52	Q	It goes over the page. I think we get a flavour of where she is if one looks in the third column on the second page. It refers to Banaluka. Do you see that in the fourth paragraph down on the second page, "A city waiting to be purged."
53 54 55 56	MR.	JUSTICE MORLAND: Towards the bottom of the page, third column.

, 5 , 1 ,

.

MR. SHIELDS: Yes, and again in the fourth column of the second 1 page: "Some of Banaluka's Muslim Croatians..." Does that 2 3 ring a bell with you? Α. Yes. 4 5 It does. I am trying not to read it to save time. Q You read those articles at the time? 6 Α. I did. 7 You left Budapest, we all know, and you travelled to Belgrade 8 0 by train. You were travelling on the overnight train arriving 9 on the 31st July. I think that is right. That is a Friday. 10 It was there on the next day when you meet Penny Marshall and 11 the rest of Channel Three news team? 12 Α. That is correct. 13 To get that right, we now have a cast list. We know that she 14 Q was with Jeremy Irving, her cameraman? 15 Α. Yes. 16 17 0 And Michael Lawrence (known as Micky) who was her sound recordist? That is right. Α. 18 19 20 Had you worked with either of those before? Α. 0 I had worked with Micky before, I believe, in covering a conflict in 21 22 Georgia in the former Soviet Union. 23 Whereas you had an editor, and that was presumably James 24 Q 25 Nicholas who was a cameraman and editor? Α. Yes. 26 27 They did not have their own editor with them? Α. That is 0 28 right. 29 When you were there did you employ what is called "the local 30 Q That is right. This is fairly common when 31 fixer"? Α. 32 you are working in a city or somewhere overseas. You normally have local people who you can call upon to help you. 33 They 34 will usually be journalists or people who are very well connected who will arrange things for you and act as 35 interpreters locally. 36 37 Did you have one or two of those? 38 0 Α. We had two in that 39 we had a lady called Mira who was extremely well connected in 40 Belgrade, a broadcaster herself, who had very good connections 41 in the Serbian regime Belgrade, knew Karadzic's people, and she, though, was unable to leave Belgrade so she also provided 42 a colleague of hers called Nina who subsequently travelled 43 44 with us into Bosnia. 45 When you were in Belgrade did you seek to contact 46 Q 47 representatives of Dr. Karadzic? Α. We did. That was one of the first things that we did. It was important for us 48 49 to establish contact with his local representatives in 50 Belgrade who we hoped would have been made aware of the 51 challenge that he had made in London and would therefore be 52 able to make the necessary arrangements for us to visit the 53 suspected camps. 54 55 Q Did you meet any of his staff at all face to face? 56 A. Yes, I did. 57

- Q Where was that? A. In the hotel, I believe. It was the Hyatt Hotel in Belgrade on a number of occasions his representatives would come by or we would talk to them by telephone.
- Q Did you make clear to them what you wanted? A. We made it very clear that our purpose was to take up the challenge which had been accepted by Karadzic that he had promised to give access to areas and camps which were suspected to be concentration camps and that our purpose in being there was to investigate those reports.
- Q What were the reactions of his representatives?
 A. Mixed. Evasive. It was "Well, we'll can see what we can
 do." Very cagey. They clearly were somewhat reluctant to put
 into practice the promise that had been made by Dr. Karadzic
 in London.
- While you were in Belgrade did you speak to any other agencies 19 0 or bodies who might be able to help you and give you 20 I did. 21 information generally? Α. I immediately began contacting a whole range of organisations within the UN, the 22 Red Cross, in order to try and establish what they knew and 23 what they had heard about what might or might not be going on 24 in Bosnia. Now, these people at the time - some had had 25 limited access to some of the areas that we were hoping to go 26 to and I went about talking to some on the telephone, most 27 visiting in person, conducting what we would call "background 28 discussions", by which I mean we didn't take the camera along 29 to film interviews with them because I wanted them to be as 30 frank as possible about what they knew or what they had heard, 31 because at this time a lot of this was only rumour. I wanted 32 to know whether, through their own contacts, through their own 33 sources, they heard about the very, very serious allegations 34 that were emerging particularly within north-west Bosnia. 35
 - Q Did you make any notes of these conversations? A. I did.
 - Q I think if we look now in the new tab 12, you see in your writing it says, "Budapest, Hilton". Is that right? A. Yes.
- 44 Q That is the first entry? A. Yes.
 - Q What follows, looking through, are your manuscript notes you made while you were on this particular task? A. That is right.
- They go all the way through. Everyone will be relieved to hear, if they turn to tab 13, you will find a typed up 50 Q 51 transcribed version of those notes. What I intend to do is 52 keep to the typed up version if everyone is happy with that. 53 Now, it is very near the adjournment but I intend to take you 54 to one or two of these now. Looking at the first page, it 55 "See C Three semi tomorrow...." Would that be Channel 56. says, That is right. 57 Three? Α.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

18

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

45

46

47 48

49

- Q So you know they were coming out? A. Yes.
 - Q We see "Misha, Belgrade" is that Misha the fixer will have just heard about? A. Misha is the Channel Three fixer.
- Q Then we see the name "John Kennedy" "faxed a list of request for contact". who was John Kennedy? A. John Kennedy, to the best of my recollection was a failed Conservative MP who had taken on the role of a kind of roving PR man for the Serbs in London, and he was doing his best in London to counter some of the allegations that were emerging. He was a lobbyist - a Parliamentary lobbyist cum PR man who was doing his best to bolster the image of the Serbs in the UK.

12.50 p.m.

- Q But was his role to arrange interviews for you? A. No, but he was working very closely with Karadzic. As far as I can recall, he had been with Karadzic or around Karadzic at the time of the challenge being taken up.
- Q That is what I was asking about, you faxed him a list of requests for contact? A. Yes, he was very much kind of the Serb man in London and was considered to have good links with Karadzic and the Serbs.
- 27 MR. SHIELDS: Would that be a convenient moment, my Lord? 28
- 29 MR. JUSTICE MORLAND: Certainly. Members of the jury, we will 30 resume at five at two. 31

(<u>Adjourned for a short time</u>)

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26